Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/527,091	CHUNG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patricia L. Morris	1625
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Patricia L. Morris</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Evans</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 November 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant Applicant No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Claim 10 will not be rejoined because the final product product	uced in claim 1 is S(-)amlodipin	•
Counsel gave authority to cancel claims 10 and 11 drawn to	nonelected subject matter.	
Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
and not result in resolution of all issues. A biter sum	mary by the examiner appear	·
·		
		Å
W.		
(Exemple://SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)
(/ pp//		J.g., w.a. ii appi apiiatoj